

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,282	12/15/2003	Hiroshi Nakahata	AA556C	4285	
27752			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HAND, MELANIE JO		
	ON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 412 ENTER HILL AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			3761		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/736,282 Art Unit: 3761

With respect to arguments regarding the rejection of claims 1-18: Applicant's arguments in their entirety regarding the rejection of these claims are directed to the Nakahata reference alone. Such arguments are not sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-18 because the rejection is over the combination of the Nakahata and Malowaniec references. It is clear from the rejection of claim 1 in the last and previous Office actions that it is the Malowaniec reference that teaches an extensibility controlling means as disclosed and claimed, thus remedying that particular deficiency of Nakahata. Applicant merely states on page 2 that it is their position that Malowaniec does not remedy the deficiency of Nakahata regarding the extensibility controlling means, but does not properly argue why Malowaniec does not remedy this deficiency and continues instead to argue what is or what is not taught or suggested in the Nakahata reference.

Applicant's arguments regarding claims 2-6 appear to be based upon applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 and are thus also not persuasive to overcome the rejections of those claims.